

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,390	01/06/2004	William R. George	130.045US2	3570	
21186 7590 06/13/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JONES, PI	JONES, PRENELL P	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

12		Cen				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Community	10/752,390	GEORGE, WILLIAM R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Prenell P. Jones	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tote, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed The mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	March 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-25</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the ₽	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6)					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :3/7/07,11/2/06,5/9/06,10/17/05,7/9/04.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 14-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding independent claim 14, the term "operable" in independent claim 14 makes the limitations following it to be optional, which renders the meads and bounds of the claim to be indefinite. Claims 15-25 depend on claim 14; therefore, claims 15-25 are rejected for the same reason. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b)

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2616

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,359. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because combined claim 7 and 8 of the Patent application merely broadens the scope of the claim 1 of the present application by eliminating the specific elements and their functions of the claims. The difference between claim 1 of the present Application, and claim 7 of the US Patent 6,697,359 is the limitation "n-port, switch crossbar," and "generic ports." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to specify the specifics of the type of ports along with its specific characteristics to implement in the switch element, as well as, the type of fabric switch to utilize, with the manipulation of claims 7 and 8 of the patent to produce the claimed invention of present claim 1.

It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA): Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claim 1 is allowed over prior, art.
- 3. Claims 2-13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2616

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fail to teach or suggest with respect to claim 1, an IC switch that includes a steering state machine and a switch crossbar to provide an available data channel between each generic port and every other generic port in the IC switch.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 703-305-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 703-305-4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

June 8 2007

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PAIENT EXAMINA